		_					_
R	_	$\sim$	_	11		~	$\Box$
$\sim$	_		-		۱/	-	11

- MS. TREICHEL: My name is Judy Treichel. I'm
- SEP 27 1999 21 the executive director of the Nevada Nuclear Waste Task Force.
- The Task Force will also be submitting written
- 23 comments after enough time allows to completely go through the
- 24 document.
- I'm speaking now as a Nevada citizen who attended

34

ATLAS REPORTING SERVICES LAS VEGAS, NEVADA (888) 4-ATLAS-1

1	this meeting and would like to make a few comments in the	
2	context of what has gone on here.	
3	There's there's been some talk about what NEPA	
4	is all about, what EIS's are all about, and that's a process in	
5	this country for whenever a major action that could affect the	
6	environment is about to be undertaken or is proposed to be	
7	undertaken.	
8	There has never been an action anywhere near	
9	equivalent to the installation of a high-level nuclear waste	
10	repository in a particular area in this country, and by the	
11	way, an area which opposes it.	
12	This is a forced siting and this EIS that's being	1
13	written to support that action and it is an irreversible action	
14	and it is an eternal action that goes on for a longer time than	
15	time can actual really be calculated.	
16	So when an EIS is done under the terms of NEPA,	2
17	it is to show how that action will improve the situation,	
18	either solve a problem or make life better for people, but	
19	improve the situation that now exists.	
20	We have not only an eternal action be proposed,	
21	but a project which is being done for the benefit of profit-	
22	making corporations and will in no way improve, but could for	
23	all time very seriously threaten the environment of the people	
24	in this area.	
25	In the document as Wendy described, under the	3

38/2

- 3
- $1\,$  Waste Policy Act, it says that DOE need not consider, and then
- 2 it listed those four things.
- 3 It did not say it will not be allowed to
- 4 consider, but it said need not, and it seems to me with the
- 5 sort of project that we're talking here that they should have
- 6 chosen to consider.
- 7 This was optional, and everything should have
- 8 been considered for the project like this.
- 9 It also said that -- that this EIS -- and we have
- 10 been complaining. People here in Nevada have been complaining
- 11 for years since this whole process started.
- 12 While we were going through the scoping for this
- 13 EIS, we were telling DOE this is the project that is not
- 14 EISable in the way that you are proposing it.
- We don't know what we're commenting on it we
- 16 don't know what we're scoping and we still don't.
- 17 It was stated on the view graph that this EIS
- 18 evaluates the current preliminary design. Well, it really
- 19 doesn't.
- 20 Recent meetings have shown that the design is
- 21 very flexible, that the design is changing. The design is
- 22 under -- going under a lot of changes, so people are evaluating
- 23 something that is not -- has no intention of happening.
- They also mention in the EIS, and we're well
- 25 aware, that there are ongoing studies, that there are tests

383

1	that will not be done for years.
2	Those things we assume will be incorporated into
3	the decisions that are made, so people are wasting their time.
4	They're talking about should this waste be mostly canistered or
5	uncanistered.
6	The people in Amargosa Valley and the rest of
7	Nevada and the rest of the country really can't make that
8	decision.
9	As was stated, there are some places that don't
10	have any rail access. Supposing we said all of this
11	transportation must be done by rail. It's not possible.
12	It's also not possible to determine whether or
13	not waste should be canistered, uncanistered or all kinds of
14	things.
15	Those are outside of people's ability to make

those decisions and you are putting people through an exercise

17 and wasting our time.

18 The issue of curies came up. How much is going

19 into that repository? It's very difficult to tell given the

20 EIS that came up, but it's very important.

And prior to the justification for how -- how 21

22 difficult it is and that's justified was the fact that they

were using TSPA information, sensitivity information. 23

24 Well, the TSPA may not in fact give us an

adequate or a true measure of how this thing will perform.

374

5

6

1	We've got to know what's in there because we've got to know 6
2	what you found.
3	Another place where time is being wasted is on
4	the the transportation section of this of this whole
5	document.
6	Transportation if if that project is
7	proposed for here, there should be a design, one design that's
8	intended to be built, the same design that would be used for a
9	site recommendation, the same design that would have to get
10	licensed and the transportation routes should be clearly
11	stated
12	Whether it's truck, whether it's train, whether 8
13	it's a combination of both, people need to know what it is that
14	they are evaluating.
15	And finally, people live in Amargosa Valley and
16	rural Nevada, rural towns throughout this country for very good
17	and valid reasons.
18	Part of the reason for living here is because
19	it's an excellent farming community. It has good, clean,
20	affordable water.
21	That is incredibly valuable thing, and in
22	particular, I'm originally from Minnesota. Water was valuable
23	there, but not like the intermountain west. That is an
24	extremely valuable attribute to this area.
25	And they grow good crops and they're expanding

386

- 1 and so forth.
- 2 Another reason that people live in small towns is
- 3 because you get a lot more self-determination than you do when
- 4 you live in a larger city in this country or in other places.
- 5 You go to your town hall meeting, you make a lot
- 6 of your own decisions. Your voice really counts, and suddenly
- 7 forced on the people, as stated earlier, a project that will
- 8 actually wind up partially residing in this town comes over the
- 9 top of people who oppose the project.
- Something is very wrong here and I don't know how
- 11 an Environmental Impact Statement can find this project to be a
- 12 valid or a wise way to go.
- Thank you.
- 14 MS. DIXON: Thank you very much.
- MR. BROWN: Thank you.
- Okay. Jann Rucquoi.